# Journal of Poultry Sciences and Avian Diseases

Journal homepage: www.jpsad.com



# Diverse Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles Across Phylogroups of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Isolates in Companion Birds

Mohammad Reza Piryaei<sup>1</sup>, Mina Abbasi<sup>1</sup>, Seyed Mostafa Peighambari<sup>1</sup>, Jamshid Razmyar<sup>1\*</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Department of Avian Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: jrazmyar@ut.ac.ir

#### Article Info

Article type: Original Research

## How to cite this article:

Piryaei, M. R., Abbasi, M., Peighambari, S. M., & Razmyar, J. (2023). Diverse Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles Across Phylogroups of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli Isolates in Companion Birds. *Journal of Poultry Sciences and Avian Diseases*, *1*(1), 26-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.61838/kman.jpsad.1.1.3



© 2023 the authors. Published by SANA AVIAN HOSPITAL, Tehran, Iran. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

# ABSTRACT

Escherichia coli (E. coli) is an indicator bacteria commonly used to monitor the progression of antibiotic resistance in humans and animals. Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) strains are responsible for severe intestinal diseases in humans, such as hemorrhagic colitis or hemolytic uremic syndrome, and can potentially be transmitted from companion animals, including pet birds. To investigate this potential transmission, 200 fecal samples were collected from birds with varying health statuses, ages, and sexes referred to the Veterinary Hospital at the University of Tehran. Among these samples, 26 isolates of E. coli (13%) were found in different bird species. The study identified 9 Attaching-effacing (AEEC) isolates (34.6%), all of which were STEC isolates (9 out of 9 isolates; 100%). Phylogroup analysis showed that 4 isolates belonged to B2, 3 isolates belonged to D, and 2 isolates were untypable. The results of the disk diffusion method indicated that 7 out of 9 STEC isolates (77.7%) were classified as multi-drug resistant (MDR). All 9 isolates (100%) were resistant to penicillin and erythromycin but sensitive to fosfomycin and lincospectin. In the B2 phylogroup, nearly all isolates were sensitive to fluoroquinolones (96.9% sensitivity). However, in the D phylogroup, the results differed, with 87.5% of isolates being resistant or developing resistance against fluoroquinolones. The findings of this study highlight that different species of birds commonly kept as pets in Iran can be affected by STEC strains and can also carry multi-drug resistant E. coli. These findings are particularly important for public health implications.

**Keywords:** Antibiotic, Escherichia coli (E. coli), Multi-Drug Resistant (MDR), Phylogroup, Shiga-Toxin Producing E. coli (STEC)

# 1 Introduction

**E** scherichia coli (E. coli) is a gram-negative, rodshaped bacteria in the Enterobacteriaceae family. It is commonly found in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals. E. coli can easily spread through the food chain and water, making it an important indicator bacteria for tracking antibiotic resistance evolution in both humans and animals (1). Based on phylogenetic analysis, *E. coli* strains are divided into nine phylogenetic groups: A, B1, B2, C, D, E, F, Clade I, and recently, Clermont et al. discovered a new phylogroup called G. Distinguishing between phylogroups can provide useful information; for example, phylogroup A primarily consists of commensal *E. coli* strains, while

Article history: Received 24 November 2022 Accepted 17 January 2023 Revised 22 January 2023 Published Online 01 March 2023 external pathogenic strains are more likely to belong to phylogroup B2 rather than D (2). Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) strains, also known as verotoxin-producing *E. coli* (VTEC), are responsible for the worldwide development of human intestinal disease and potentially fatal hemorrhagic colitis or hemolytic uremic syndrome (3). Phylogenetic studies on human diarrheagenic *E. coli* isolates showed that EHEC strains were classified in phylogroups A and B1 (4). STEC strains have been found in fecal samples from healthy birds in several countries. STEC strains produce one or both major Shiga toxin (Stx) types, called Stx1 and Stx2 (5).

The population of companion animals has been increasing worldwide, with pet birds being among the most favorable animals after dogs and cats (6). Pet birds belong to the orders Passeriformes and Psittaciformes, which include canaries, finches, parrots, parakeets, and lovebirds (7). The emergence of multi-resistant E. coli has been previously reported in humans and in different animal species including pet birds (8). The administration of antibacterial agents in companion animals is known to lead to the development of resistant bacteria, which can reach humans through direct and indirect pathways. There is evidence that commensal E. coli strains from birds have different rates and types of resistance, and can carry and transfer genetic markers related to resistance. On the other hand, STEC is an emerging pathogen with significant clinical and public health concerns that should be investigated in order to be treated and controlled correctly (9, 10). The study aimed to assess the presence and patterns of antimicrobial resistance in different phylogenetic groups of Shiga-toxin producing E. coli isolates from pet birds in Tehran, Iran.

# 2 Methods and Materials

## 2.1 Sampling and Bacterial Isolates

In August 2020, a total of 200 fecal samples were collected from submitted cases to the Pet Birds Clinic, Department of Avian Diseases, University of Tehran. Birds from 22 different species, mostly Psittaciformes and Passeriformes, with different situations of health status, age and sex were included in this study. Sterile cotton swabs were used for taking fecal samples and the standard methods of isolation and identification were done as described previously (2). Briefly, samples were first cultured in LB (Luria Bertani) broth medium, after 18 hours of incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C, the samples were plated on MacConkey agar and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 18 hours. All of the possible *E. coli* isolates were stored in LB broth containing 15% glycerol at -20°C for a short time until further processing.

# 2.2 DNA extraction

The study utilized a routine boiling method, as described by Zahraei Salehi et al., for DNA extraction. The process involved harvesting 6 to 8 typical colonies from each isolate's culture, suspending the colonies in 100  $\mu$ l of sterile deionized water, incubating the suspension at 100°C for 10 minutes to release the DNA contents, and centrifuging the suspension at 6000g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was then used as the template DNA in the PCR reaction(11).

## 2.3 PCR Assays

In order to confirm *E. coli* strains, isolated samples were examined for the presence of the uspA (universal stress protein A) gene based on Chen & Griffiths' study (12). Then, positive samples were tested for detection of eae, bfpA, stx1, and stx2 virulence genes (13, 14). Techniques from Clermont et al. (15, 16), were utilized for phylogenetic analysis of isolated AEEC strains. *E. coli* strains were assigned to one of the phylogroups A, B1, B2, C, D, E, F, clade I, or G based on the results (2).

For PCR procedures in this study, the positive control was an *E. coli* O157:H7 strain which had already been isolated and identified (ATCC 35150,(17)), and sterile deionized water was used as the negative control. All PCR reactions were containing: 12.5  $\mu$ l 2x master mix (Ampliqon, Denmark), 0.5  $\mu$ l of each forward and reverse primers (10 pmol/  $\mu$ l), 9.5  $\mu$ l nuclease-free water and 2  $\mu$ l of DNA sample. All the PCR products were separately run on 1.5% agarose gel (Yekta Tajhiz Azma, Iran) in TBE buffer (Tris Base, Boric Acid, EDTA, pH 8, 0.5M), dyed with Safe Stain (SinaClon, Iran) and viewed under UV light illumination (Kiagen, Iran). The primer sequences, target genes and amplicon sizes are described previously (2).

# 2.4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility test

Isolates which considered as AEEC according to PCR results, were investigated for their antibiotic resistance characteristics. For this Purpose, disk diffusion (DD) method, based on the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI, 2020) standard was used. In brief, a suspension of overnight growth of bacteria in LB broth, with turbidity

equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard was inoculated on Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar by sterile cotton swabs. After 15 minutes, the antibiotic disks (29 antibiotics used for DD method, listed in Table 1) (Padtan Teb®, Iran) were placed on MH agar and subsequently incubated at  $35^{\circ}C \pm 1$  for 24 hours. After that, based on CLSI instructions, the results were charted in excel sheets

Table 1. The list of 28 used antibiotics in disk diffusion method and the susceptibility test results of 9	STEC isolates
--	---------------

Antimicrobial class	Antimicrobial agent	Disk Content (µg)	e.118	e.122	e.135	e.156	e.158	e.162	e.165	e.170	e.171
β-lactamase Inhibitors	Amoxiclav	30	R	R	R	R	Ι	R	S	R	R
Penicillins	Ampicillin	10	R	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S
	Penicillin	1 IU (0.6 μg)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Cephems	Cefotaxime	30	R	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	R	S	Ι	Ι
(parenteral)	Ceftriaxone	30	S	Ī	S	S	S	I	Ĩ	S	S
4	Cefixime	5	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	R	S	R	I
	Ceftazidime	30	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Fluoroquinolone	Ciprofloxacin	5	R	R	R	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
1	Danofloxacin	10	R	Ι	S	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Difloxacin	10	R	Ι	Ι	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Enrofloxacin	5	R	Ι	Ι	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Levofloxacin	5	R	Ι	Ι	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Norfloxacin	10	R	Ι	S	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Ofloxacin	5	R	Ι	Ι	Ι	S	S	S	S	S
	Flumequine	30	R	Ι	S	Ι	S	R	S	S	S
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	30	R	R	R	R	S	S	R	R	S
	Florfenicol	30	S	R	R	S	S	S	S	S	S
Tetracyclines	Doxycycline	30	R	R	R	R	Ι	R	Ι	S	S
	Oxytetracycline	30	R	R	R	R	Ι	R	S	S	Ι
	Tetracycline	30	R	R	R	R	Ι	S	R	Ι	Ι
Macrolides	Erythromycin	15	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Fosfomycins	Fosfomycin	200	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	10	S	R	S	S	S	S	R	S	S
	Neomycin	30	R	S	S	Ι	Ι	R	R	S	S
	Streptomycin	10	S	R	R	R	Ι	R	R	R	Ι
Folate synthesis	Trimethoprim	+ 1.25 $+$	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	S
inhibitor	Sulfamethoxazole	23.75									
Quinolone	Nalidixic acid	30	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	S	S
Lipopeptides	Colistin	10	Ι	S	S	R	S	Ι	S	S	S
	Lincospectin	15/200	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

# 3 Results

Out of 200 fecal samples, we found 26 isolates of *E. coli* (13%) from pet birds as described in our previous study (2). Briefly, the presence of *E. coli* in different species of birds varied and included: white-eared bulbul (3 isolates out of 5 samples, 60%), duck (3 isolates out of 8 samples, 37.5%), canary (1 isolate out of 5 samples, 20%), mynah and rose-ringed parakeet (4 isolates out of 22 samples and 2 isolates out of 11 samples respectively, 60%), budgerigar (1 isolate

out of 7 samples, 14.3%), African grey parrot and lovebirds (1 isolate out of 8 samples and 2 isolates out of 16 samples respectively, 12.5%), and cockatiel (9 isolates out of 89 samples, 10.1%) (Table 2). The identity of the *E. coli* isolates was confirmed by detection of uspA gene and based on the presence of eae gene, 9 AEEC isolates were found (34.6%). After that, because of the absence of bfpA gene, and the presence of stx1, stx2 and/or both of them in the isolates, all the AEEC isolates were considered as STEC (9 out of 9 isolates).



Birds species	Sample size	UspA +	bfpA+	eae +	<i>stx</i> 1 +	<i>stx</i> 2 +	Phylogroup
Cockatiel	89	9	-	3	3	3	D, (untyped), B2
Mynah	22	4	-	2	1	2	D, B2
Lovebirds	16	2	-	-	-	-	-
Rose-ringed parakeet	11	2	-	1	-	1	B2
Green-cheeked parakeet	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Duck	8	3	-	1	-	1	(Untyped)
African grey parrot	8	1	-	-	-	-	-
Budgerigar	7	1	-	-	-	-	-
White-eared bulbul	5	3	-	2	1	2	D, B2
Monk parakeet	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canary	5	1	-	-	-	-	-
Finch	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Old World sparrows	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Conures	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grass Parakeets	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eclectus parrot	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iraq babbler	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wrens	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common swift	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Starling	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common buzzard	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amazon parrot	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	200	26 (13%)	0 (0%)	9 (4.5%)	5 (2.5%)	9 (4.5%)	-

Table 2. The list of bird species included in this study, and their detailed results of PCR assays

In order to analyze the phylogenetic groups of STEC isolates, Clermont et al.'s upgraded approach (2019) was used (16). The results determined 4 phylogroup B2 (isolated from Cockatiel, Mynah, Rose-ringed parakeet and Whiteeared bulbul), 3 phylogroup D (isolated from Cockatiel, Mynah and White-eared bulbul) and 2 un-typed isolates. Clermont et al.'s phylogenetic analysis could not determine the phylogroups of these 2 mentioned isolates (isolated from Duck and Cockatiel) (Table 3).

Table 3. The detailed information of STEC isolates found in pet birds, and

their assigned phylogroups

Isolate	Avian Species	Phylogroup	STX Gene
	1	Thylogroup	
Laboratory	of Origin		Status
Code			
E.118	Cockatiel	D	Stx2, Stx1
E.122	Mynah	D	Stx2, Stx1
E.135	White-eared	D	Stx2, Stx1
	bulbul		
E.156	Duck	-	Stx2
E.158	Cockatiel	-	Stx2, Stx1
E.162	White-eared	B2	Stx2
	bulbul		
E.165	Cockatiel	B2	Stx2, Stx1
E.170	Mynah	B2	Stx2,
E.171	Rose-ringed	B2	Stx2
	parakeet		

Based on the results attained from DD method, 7 out of 9 STEC isolates showed resistance against at least 3 different antimicrobial drug classes and 7 multi-drug resistance (MDR) isolates were detected in this study (77.7%). In the evaluation of STEC isolates, 9 out of 9 (100%) isolates were resistant to penicillin and erythromycin, and sensitive to fosfomycin and lincospectin. In B2 phylogroup, almost all the isolates were sensitive to fluoroquinolones (96.9% sensitive) and only one isolate was resistant to flumequine (3.1% resistance). In D phylogroup, the results were different, the rate of sensitivity was 12.5% and 87.5% were resistant or they were developing resistance (intermediate). The un-typed isolates, one isolate was totally sensitive and the other one was developing resistance against fluoroquinolones.

D phylogroup isolates were 58.4% resistant (or intermediate) to cephems, while in B2 phylogroup, 50% were sensitive and 50 % were resistant (31.25% intermediate and 18.75% resistant). In D phylogroup, isolates were 83.4% resistant and 16.6% sensitive to phenicols, and in B2 phylogroup, 75% were sensitive and 25% were resistant. D phylogroup isolates, were 100% resistant to tetracyclines, and in B2 phylogroup,41.7% were sensitive, 25% were resistant and 33.3% were developing resistance. D phylogroup 55.5% isolates were resistant to aminoglycosides, and B2 phylogroup isolates were 58.3% resistant and 41.7% sensitive. For colistin, in D phylogroup the rate of resistance was 33.3% and the isolates were developing resistance (intermediate), in B2 phylogroup, the isolates were developing resistance in the rate of 25%.



Against nalidixic acid and TS, D phylogroup isolates were all resistant and B2 phylogroup isolates were all sensitive to these two mentioned antimicrobial drugs.

# 4 Discussion

Companion animals, such as pet birds, often have close contact with humans, creating a great potential for interactions between themselves and humans. Transmission of pathogens, including antimicrobial resistance genes, has always been a notable issue that could have interrelated effects on both humans and animals(6). Bacteria that cause urinary tract infections, sepsis, respiratory infections, and food poisoning have been found in the feces of all birds(18). It has been suggested that food could be the most common route of transmission(19). Animals can serve as a reservoir of pathogens and also, antibiotic-resistant bacteria(20). As a fact, the main habitat of E. coli is the intestine of animals and this bacterium could be found in vast amounts in feces of different species (21). STEC strains, as an important zoonosis have been studied multiple times worldwide. In Iran, different studies found different incidence ranges from 1.1% to 7.4% in humans with diarrhea. Rates of incidence varied in different studies due to different age of patients and the size of taken samples (22-26). Alizade et al. in 2014 found 36 STEC isolates out of 117 samples from immunocompromised (HIV or Thalassemia) cases (30.7%) (27) which is far more than other studies, comparing with 34.6% of incidence in pet birds included in our study. These results show the significant incidence of STEC strains, especially in patients with gastroenteritis(28). Recent discoveries in the field of phylogenetic analysis have shown the possibility of transmission between humans and animals. The phylogenetic typing method provided by Clermont et al. (15, 16)was used to identify the phylogroups of STEC isolates. Out of 9 isolates, 4 (44.4%) belonged to the B2 phylogroup, while 3 isolates (33.3%) were classified as D phylogroup. Gioia-Di Chiacchio et al. (29) also detected B2 phylogroup in AEEC isolates from Psittacine birds, they also found phylogroup F and clade I but they did not detect any isolates from D phylogroup. It is worth mentioning that we found 2 STEC isolates, which this typing method was unable to distinguish and classify as certain phylogroups; which illuminates the necessity of further investigations in the field of phylogroup analysis. Understanding the scale of antimicrobial resistance among STEC isolates from companion birds' origin was the main focus of this study. Most of the antimicrobial agents included in this study are

commonly used in the treatment of human infections, and high resistant rates could be considered an alarm to pay more attention to this phenomenon. A variety of resistance rates against different antimicrobial agents has been reported worldwide in different time periods (30, 31). Primarily, chloramphenicol and tetracycline, followed by erythromycin, streptomycin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and ampicillin are considered "very important antimicrobials" for use in human medicine by the World Health Organization (WHO). The acquisition of resistance to these antibiotics in the bacteria of the human environment and microflora is a very important issue (32).

In the past years, high levels of resistance against tetracycline, sulfamethoxazole, ampicillin, and streptomycin were found in *E. coli* isolates (33). Zarei et al. (34). examined the prevalence of STEC in 257 samples of raw chicken meat and found high rates of resistance to some antibiotic agents such as nalidixic acid (91.4%), tetracycline (89.8%), ampicillin (82.8%), and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (71%) using the DD method.

The results of our study revealed that7 out of 9 STEC isolates were resistant to at least 3 different classes of antimicrobial drugs and thus, 7 multi-drug resistant isolates were detected and identified in this study (77.7%). This finding is consistent with previous studies conducted by Sigirci et al. (6). In this regard, Sigirci et al. (2020) reported a rate of 67% multi-drug resistance (MDR) in E. coli isolates from companion birds. Additionally, Hidasi et al. (35) found a MDR incidence of 33.8% in E. coli isolates from parrots seized from the illegal wildlife trade (35). Horn et al. (36) found that 55.7% of members of Enterobacteriaceae family isolated from canaries were MDR (36). Furthermore, Pontes et al. (37) measured the total rate of MDR incidence in E. coli and salmonella spp. isolated from cockatiels to be 59%(37). Regarding resistance against penicillin and erythromycin, both of which are common antibiotics for various human infections, 100% of STEC isolates in the study showed resistance, which should be considered an alarm. High rates of resistance have also been reported in other studies in Iran, such as Zarei et al. (2019) from chicken meat E. coli isolates (34) and Tavakoli and Pourtaghi (38) from STEC isolates of clinical mastitis in dairy cows (38), but Mohammadi et al. (39) did not detect any MDR isolates from STEC isolated from raw milk (39).

In phylogroup D isolates, all of them (100%) were resistant to nalidixic acid and sulfamethoxazoletrimethoprim, this resistance may be the result of arbitrary usage of antibiotics without proper veterinary supervision.



In the studies of Sigirci et al. in 2019 (40) and 2020 (6), respectively, 38% of cloacal swab isolates of synantropic birds and 46% of companion bird isolates were resistant to sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim and Pontes et al. (2018) found 41% resistance to nalidixic acid in clinically healthy cockatiels in captivity (37). In the present study, 55.5% of phylogroup D isolates and 58.3% of phylogroup B2 isolates were resistant to aminoglycosides. In the study of Sigirci et al. in 2020, three parakeet isolates sampled from one breeder were resistant to all classes of aminoglycosides, and in general, 34% of isolates were resistant to streptomycin, 25% resistant to kanamycin, and 7% were resistant to gentamicin (6). Also, in the study of Horn et al. (36) and Pontes et al. (37), 40% and 67% of the strains isolated from canaries and cockatiels kept in captivity were resistant to streptomycin, respectively. In our study, the resistance rate of streptomycin was measured to be 66.66% (6 out of 9 isolates).

The isolates of phylogroup B2 were 25% and phylogroup D isolates were 100% resistant to tetracyclines. In this case, confirmative results have been reported in several other studies, such as Pontes et al. (2018) with 41% resistance rate in captive cockatiels (37) and Machado et al. (2018) with 28.6% resistance from free-ranging gray-breasted parrots (41). Collectively, the irresponsible use of antimicrobial compounds in human infections and veterinary purposes, beside the recent increases in food demands -livestock animals as a source of human food supply- from growing populations, and the void of proper laboratory facilities to help in diagnosis and choosing suitable treatments could be responsible for the emergence and spread of resistant and MDR strains, and this can create some risks for human and animals (42).

### 5 Conclusion

The study revealed that different species of birds commonly kept as pets in Iran can be affected by STEC

#### References

strains and identified as multi-drug resistant *E. coli*, which doubles the importance of these findings for public health. While there are different studies that can reinforce the attained data in the field of resistance against antimicrobial agents, there are also some studies whose findings seem to be otherwise. However, the facts that STEC isolates are potentially dangerous for both animals and humans and multi-drug resistance is an increasingly risky factor for human health are still clear and undeniable. Actions should be undertaken to fight against it, and the prevalence of STEC isolates and their complementary information (especially the resistance against different antimicrobial agents) in different animals should be investigated more deeply to achieve a better understanding and to control/treat this issue.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **Authors Contributions**

MRP participated in coordinating the study, sampling, data analysis, and drafting the manuscript. MA provided the isolates and collected data, and also contributed to drafting the manuscript. JR & SMP participated in the study design, analysis, and contributed to drafting the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **Data Availability Statement**

Data are available from the first author upon reasonable request.

# Funding

This project was supported by a research grant (No. 291227/76)

<sup>4.</sup> Tramuta C, Robino P, Nebbia P. Phylogenetic background of attaching and effacing Escherichia coli isolates from animals. Veterinary Research Communications. 2008 Aug;32(6):433-7. [PMID: 18509740] DOI: 10.1007/s11259-008-9042-1



<sup>1.</sup> Pais S, Costa M, Barata AR, Rodrigues L, Afonso IM, Almeida G. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance of different phylogroups of Escherichia coli isolates from feces of breeding and laying hens. Antibiotics. 2022 Dec 23;12(1):20. [PMID: 36671221] [PMCID: PMC9854720] DOI: 10.3390/antibiotics12010020

<sup>2.</sup> Abbasi M, Peighambari SM, Razmyar J. Phylogenetic Analysis of Attaching and Effacing E. coli (AEEC) Strains Isolated from Pet Birds in Iran. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2022 Nov 16. Doi:10.22059/ijvm.2022.346980.1005301

<sup>3.</sup> Lee KI, French NP, Hara-Kudo Y, Iyoda S, Kobayashi H, Sugita-Konishi Y, Tsubone H, Kumagai S. Multivariate analyses revealed distinctive features differentiating human and cattle isolates of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157 in Japan. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2011 Apr;49(4):1495-500. [PMID: 21346047] [PMCID: PMC3122830] DOI: 10.1128/JCM.02640-10

5. Dutta TK, Roychoudhury P, Bandyopadhyay S, Wani SA, Hussain I. Detection & characterization of Shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli (STEC) & enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) in poultry birds with diarrhoea. The Indian journal of medical research. 2011 May;133(5):541. [PMCID: PMC3121287] [PMID: 21623041].

6. Sigirci BD, Celik B, Halac B, Adiguzel MC, Kekec I, Metiner K, Ikiz S, Bagcigil AF, Ozgur NY, Ak S, Kahraman BB. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Escherichia coli isolated from companion birds. Journal of King Saud University-Science. 2020 Jan 1;32(1):1069-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2019.09.014

7. Boseret G, Losson B, Mainil JG, Thiry E, Saegerman C. Zoonoses in pet birds: review and perspectives. Veterinary Research. 2013 Dec;44(1):1-7. [PMID: 23687940] [PMCID: PMC3668993] DOI: 10.1186/1297-9716-44-36

Gioia-Di Chiacchio RM, Cunha MP, De Sa LR, Davies YM, Pereira CB, Martins FH, Munhoz DD, Abe CM, Franzolin MR, Dos Santos LF, Guth BE. Novel hybrid of typical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli and Shiga-toxin-producing E. coli (tEPEC/STEC) emerging from pet birds. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2018 Dec 6; 9:2975. [PMID: 30574131] [PMCID: PMC6291465] DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02975
Ghanbarpour R, Daneshdoost S. Identification of shiga toxin and intimin coding genes in Escherichia coli isolates from pigeons

(Columba livia) in relation to phylotypes and antibiotic resistance patterns. Tropical animal health and production. 2012 Feb; 44:307-12. [PMID: 22105907] DOI: 10.1007/s11250-011-0021-0

10. Santos T, Silva N, Igrejas G, Rodrigues P, Micael J, Rodrigues T, Resendes R, Gonçalves A, Marinho C, Gonçalves D, Cunha R. Dissemination of antibiotic resistant Enterococcus spp. and Escherichia coli from wild birds of Azores Archipelago. Anaerobe. 2013 Dec 1; 24:25-31. [PMID: 24047647] DOI: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.09.004

11. Zahraei Salehi T, Safarchi A, Peighambari SM, Mahzounieh M, Rabbani Khorasgani M. Detection of stx1, stx2, eae, espB and hly genes in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli by multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research. 2007; 62(2), 37–42.

12. Chen J, Griffiths MW. PCR differentiation of Escherichia coli from other Gram- negative bacteria using primers derived from the nucleotide sequences flanking the gene encoding the universal stress protein. Letters in applied microbiology. 1998 Dec;27(6):369-71. [PMID: 9871356] DOI: 10.1046/j.1472-765x.1998.00445.x

13. Paton AW, Paton JC. Detection and characterization of Shiga toxigenic Escherichia coli by using multiplex PCR assays for stx 1, stx 2, eaeA, enterohemorrhagic E. coli hlyA, rfb O111, and rfb O157. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1998 Feb 1;36(2):598-602. [PMID: 9466788] [PMCID: PMC104589] DOI: 10.1128/JCM.36.2.598-602.1998

14. Scaletsky IC, Fabbricotti SH, Aranda KR, Morais MB, Fagundes-Neto U. Comparison of DNA hybridization and PCR assays for detection of putative pathogenic enteroadherent Escherichia coli. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2002 Apr;40(4):1254-8. [PMID: 11923341] [PMCID: PMC140355] DOI: 10.1128/JCM.40.4.1254-1258.2002

15. Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, Gordon DM. The C lermont E scherichia coli phylo- typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo- groups. Environmental microbiology reports. 2013 Feb;5(1):58-65. [PMID: 23757131] DOI: 10.1111/1758-2229.12019

16. Clermont O, Dixit OV, Vangchhia B, Condamine B, Dion S, Bridier- Nahmias A, Denamur E, Gordon D. Characterization and rapid identification of phylogroup G in Escherichia coli, a lineage with high virulence and antibiotic resistance potential. Environmental microbiology. 2019 Aug;21(8):3107-17. [PMID: 31188527] DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.14713

17. Jamshidi A, Razmyar J, Fallah N. Detection of eaeA, hlyA, stx1 and stx2 genes in pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from broilers affected with colibacillosis. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2016; 10(2), 97-103.

18. Huerta B, Marti E, Gros M, López P, Pompêo M, Armengol J, Barceló D, Balcázar JL, Rodríguez-Mozaz S, Marcé R. Exploring the links between antibiotic occurrence, antibiotic resistance, and bacterial communities in water supply reservoirs. Science of the Total Environment. 2013 Jul 1; 456:161-70. [PMID: 23591067] DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.071

19. Kim JS, Lee MS, Kim JH. Recent updates on outbreaks of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli and its potential reservoirs. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. 2020 Jun 4; 10:273. [PMID: 32582571] [PMCID: PMC7287036] DOI: 10.3389/fcimb. 2020.00273

20. Rybak B, Krawczyk B, Furmanek-Blaszk B, Wysocka M, Fordon M, Ziolkowski P, Meissner W, Stepniewska K, Sikorska K. Antibiotic resistance, virulence, and phylogenetic analysis of Escherichia coli strains isolated from free-living birds in human habitats. PLoS One. 2022 Jan 12;17(1): e0262236. [PMID: 35020771] [PMCID: PMC8754294] DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0262236

21. Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, Denamur E. The population genetics of commensal Escherichia coli. Nature reviews microbiology. 2010 Mar; 8(3):207-17. [PMID: 20157339] DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2298

22. Taghadosi R, Shakibaie MR, Alizade H, Hosseini-Nave H, Askari A, Ghanbarpour R. Serogroups, subtypes and virulence factors of shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolated from human, calves and goats in Kerman, Iran. Gastroenterology and hepatology from bed to bench. 2018;11(1):60. [PMCID: PMC5849120] [PMID: 29564067]

23. Mohammadi-Sardo MR, Salehi S, Mirbaha S, Abdollahi A. Shiga toxigenic Escherichia coli antimicrobial resistance properties in diabetic and nondiabetic pediatric patients; a case-control study. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2017 Nov 1;5(11):5999-6008. DOI: 10.22038/ijp.2017.25624.2181

24. Zarringhalam M, Goudarzi H, Nahaei MR, Bandehpour M, Shahbazi G. Detection of Escherichia coli Pathotypes from the Cases of Diarrhea. Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia. 2016 Mar 31;13(1):247-55. DOI: 10.13005/bbra/2028

25. Abbasi M, Aslani MM, Mostafavi E, Alikhani MY, Nikbin VS. Determination of Adhesion Virulence Factors of Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (eaeA-, bfpA-) Isolates from Asymptomatic Individuals Compared to those with Diarrhea. Pathobiology Research. 2013 Feb 10;15(4):99-108.

26. Kargar M, Homayoon M, Yaghoobi R, Manookians A. Prevalence of virulence genes stx1, stx2, hly and eaeA with Multiplex PCR from E. coli O157: H7 strains among children with acute gastroenteritis in Marvdasht. Iranian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine. 2009;14(44):7-12. [PMID: 25901920]

 Alizade H, Ghanbarpour R, Nekoubin M. Phylogenetic of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli and a typical Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli Strains Isolated from Human and Cattle in Kerman, Iran. Int J Entric Pathog. 2014; 2(1), e15195. DOI: 10.17795/ijep15195
Hooman N, Khodadost M, Bitzan M, Ahmadi A, Nakhaie S, Naghshizadian R. Reservoirs of infection with shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in Iran: Systematic review. Asian Journal of Pediatric Nephrology. 2020 Jul 1;3(2):49. DOI: 10.4103/2589-9309.305897



29. Gioia-Di Chiacchio RM, Cunha MP, Sturn RM, Moreno LZ, Moreno AM, Pereira CB, Martins FH, Franzolin MR, Piazza RM, Knöbl T. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC): Zoonotic risks associated with psittacine pet birds in home environments. Veterinary Microbiology. 2016 Feb 29; 184:27-30. [PMID: 26854341] DOI: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.01.004

30. Hsu SC, Chiu TH, Pang JC, Hsuan-Yuan CH, Chang GN, Tsen HY. Characterisation of antimicrobial resistance patterns and class 1 integrons among Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica serovar Choleraesuis strains isolated from humans and swine in Taiwan. International journal of antimicrobial agents. 2006 May 1;27(5):383-91. [PMID: 16621462] DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2005.11.020

31. Yang CM, Lin MF, Liao PC, Yeh HW, Chang BV, Tang TK, Cheng C, Sung CH, Liou ML. Comparison of antimicrobial resistance patterns between clinical and sewage isolates in a regional hospital in Taiwan. Letters in applied microbiology. 2009 May 1;48(5):560-5. [PMID: 19291216] DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02572.x

32. World Health Organization. Critically important antimicrobials for human medicine: ranking of antimicrobial agents for risk management of antimicrobial resistance due to nonhuman use. 2017; Accessed 10 January 2019 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/hand.

33. Kang HY, Jeong YS, Oh JY, Tae SH, Choi CH, Moon DC, Lee WK, Lee YC, Seol SY, Cho DT, Lee JC. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance and class 1 integrons found in Escherichia coli isolates from humans and animals in Korea. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2005 May 1;55(5):639-44. [PMID: 15761064] DOI: 10.1093/jac/dki076

34. Zarei O, Shokoohizadeh L, Hossainpour H, Yousef Alikhani M. Prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing and Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli Isolated from Chicken Meat in the west of Iran. Research Square. 2019; 1–14. [PMID: 34987586] [PMCID: PMC8723884] DOI: 10.1155/2021/333240

35. Hidasi HW, Neto JH, Moraes DM, Linhares GF, de Sá Jayme V, Andrade MA. Enterobacterial detection and Escherichia coli antimicrobial resistance in parrots seized from the illegal wildlife trade. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife medicine. 2013 Mar;44(1):1-7. [PMID: 23505696] DOI: 10.1638/1042-7260-44.1.1

36. Horn RV, Cardoso WM, Lopes ES, Teixeira RS, Albuquerque ÁH, Rocha-e-Silva RC, Machado DN, Bezerra WG. Identification and antimicrobial resistance of members from the Enterobacteriaceae family isolated from canaries (Serinus canaria). Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira. 2015; 35:552-6. DOI: 10.1590/S0100-736X2015000600011

37. Pontes PS, Coutinho SD, Iovine RD, Cunha MP, Knöbl T, Carvalho VM. Survey on pathogenic Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. in captive cockatiels (Nymphicus hollandicus). brazilian journal of microbiology. 2018; 49:76-82. [PMID: 30170962] [PMCID: PMC6328852] DOI: 10.1016/j.bjm.2018.05.003

38. Tavakoli M, Pourtaghi H. Molecular detection of virulence genes and multi-drug resistance patterns in Escherichia coli (STEC) in clinical bovine mastitis: Alborz province, Iran. Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research. 2017;18(3):208. [PMID: 29163651] [PMCID: PMC5674445]

39. Mohammadi P, Abiri R, Rezaei M, Salmanzadeh-Ahrabi S. Isolation of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli from raw milk in Kermanshah, Iran. Iranian journal of microbiology. 2013 Sep;5(3):233. [PMID: 24475329] [PMCID: PMC3895560]

40. Sigirci BD, Celik B, Kahraman BB, Bagcigil AF, Ak S. Tetracycline resistance of enterobacteriaceae isolated from feces of synanthropic birds. Journal of Exotic Pet Medicine. 2019 Jan 1; 28:13-8. DOI: 10.1053/j.jepm.2017.12.003

41. Machado DN, Lopes ES, Albuquerque AH, Horn RV, Bezerra WG, Siqueira RA, Lopes IT, Nunes FP, Teixeira RS, Cardoso WM. Isolation and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Enterobacteria from nestling grey-breasted parakeets (Pyrrhura griseipectus). Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. 2018 Jan; 20:103-10. DOI: 10.1590/1806-9061-2017-0551

42. Clemente L, Manageiro V, Jones-Dias D, Correia I, Themudo P, Albuquerque T, Geraldes M, Matos F, Almendra C, Ferreira E, Canica M. Antimicrobial susceptibility and oxymino- $\beta$ -lactam resistance mechanisms in Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolates from different animal sources. Research in microbiology. 2015 Sep 1;166(7):574-83. [PMID: 26054292] DOI: 10.1016/j.resmic.2015.05.007

